

Meeting Notes - Social Protection and Migration Working Group

Date: 29.08.2014

Time: 10:00AM-12:00PM

Venue: Mandalay Meeting Room, UNOPS office

The meeting notes below are main action points we have noted down for response from FMO facilitator team and program management. Please see the noted bullet points below and the action points highlighted in yellow:

- Definition of Social Protection

Group members agree to use the definition of the Social Protection NTWG thinking of the consistency: "Policies, legal instruments, programs, benefits and services for individuals and households that (a) prevent and alleviate economic and social vulnerabilities; (b) promote access to essential services and infrastructure and economic opportunity, and (c) facilitate the ability to better manage and cope with shocks that arise from humanitarian emergencies and/or sudden loss of income."

- Should "Migration" go as one outcome?

At LIFT Management meeting, we've discussed about this and the answer was "No". Since Migration is household level form of social protection and a mean to an end, it should only be considered at part of outcome under resilience or other outcomes.

- Should "Resilience" be restricted to "climate-change resilience" only?

The Management meeting decided that "Resilience" should not be restricted to climate change only. Resilience involves climate, market and government and it means resilient to shocks of whatever sort. The meeting agreed that it can narrow down to climate change later when necessary.

- Group request to come up with problem statements for each main outcome: Income generation, climate-change resilience, nutrition, pro-poor development

Please see the attached excel document of second worksheet.

- LIFT FMO to take responsibility for deliverables 3 and 5: " ToR for each sub-programme providing definition for the contracting modalities agreed (Eg. call for concept notes, procurement of studies, consulting services, etc.,)" and " Definition on how a government contribution should be achieved for each sub-programme

Here are some decisions that LIFT internal DZ working group meeting have made on these issues:

1. The outline of each working group ToR will be drafted by an external consultant who will give guidance on the purpose of the document, and what type and level of information to include.
2. Planning for Government contribution in the DZ programme. The WG's should take the following into consideration re role, function and participation options:
 - a. Identify what the govt role should be (and conversely, what it currently is),
 - b. Identify how the DZ programme can complement and support Govt in their role – your move to appropriate role,
 - c. This will require consideration of different options for govt participation in the DZ programme, and identification of the pros and cons of each,

- d. Determine what can realistically be achieved re Govt role and participation over the 4 year life of the programme and advise on approach from the identified options.
- e. Govt participation should be considered and identified throughout the results framework
- f. Ideally the design approach of the DZ programme will bring Govt to an understanding of joint ownership in delivering on the programme results.

I have also added other key points made in the meeting which will be of useful guidance to our resultant framework development process.

- Results Framework and Matrix Drafting (I have attached the results framework matrix that Ma Myat Khet has drafted based on all our discussion in the attached word file.)
 - Two columns should be added to the Results matrix; risks and implications for govt relations and participation
 - WGs do not need to go into detail on indicators. This will be done by the FMO after the WGs have completed their work. That said, the WGs will need to consider measurability in scripting their outcomes and outputs to ensure measurement is achievable. Please follow the SMART rule (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and timebound).
 - Activity type. We have previously noted that the results frameworks do not need to go into detail at the activity level. This will be done through the calls for proposals and procurements that follow. It is necessary however that 'Activity Types' be identified to provide guidance on how the outputs should be delivered, ie what the priority intervention types should be.
 - Definition for Activity Type: An intervention that includes a group of coherent activities addressing a specific need towards delivering an output. There may be more than one Activity type required to deliver an output and dependencies between the individual activities forming the activity type.
 - Hypothetical examples of Activity Types: Training for community animal health workers, FFSs to support the introduction on new crop production techniques, Establishment of inventory credit services, Investigation of ground water characteristics to determine merit of promoting tube wells, Determine a Cash Transfer methodology.